

9/29/11

		17a	17b	18
Lindsey	Ball	 	 	95
Harrison	Huntoon	 	 	86
Harsahd	Kulkarni	 	 	Absent
Rebecca	Lytle	 	 	85
Regina	Martel	 	 	93
Michael	Montgomery	 	 	95
Maureen	Newcomb	 	 	76
Eric	Roche	 	 	80
Kevin	Tsuji	 	 	Absent
Doug	Winter	 	 	84

40

702

100% 87.75%

Mark Shuman

Organization Name: *American Indian Science + Engineering Society*

Event Name: *American Month Kickoff + 29 Annual Pow wow*

Event Date(s): *Nov 4-5*

Reviewer Name: *Harrison Huntton*

Final Score: 86/100

1. The services and programs relation to the organization's purpose. (15 points)

What is the mission of the organization? How does this event "fit" in that mission?

COMMENTS:

*A little unclear for what this event exactly entails,
 ↳ what are the details? ⇒ tentative list of events?*

Score: ~~13~~ /15
 12

2. The organization's ability to effectively use the student activity fee. (15 points)

How many people are expected to benefit from the event?

COMMENTS:

$$2809 / 18626 = \frac{18626}{2800} \approx 6.65/\text{person} \Rightarrow \text{Good cost}$$

→ good collaboration w/ marketing

Score: 15 /15

3. The organization's adherence to its planned budget and accountability for its expenses throughout the past fiscal year. (5 points)

Please provide a brief financial summary (revenues and expenditures) for your most recently completed fiscal year. Please describe any unusual or unforeseen activities or fluctuations. Please indicate whether the organization filed its reports on time in the past. Also, please provide an organizational budget for the current fiscal year.

COMMENTS:

A decrease in cost from last year to this

Score: 5 /5

4. The ability of an organization to effectively deliver their services. (10 points)

Please provide information about your organization's leadership as well as specific operational details for delivering services.

COMMENTS:

Good organization for the ^{Group} ~~Organization~~

↳ how do you typically recruit?
- how many members

Score: 8 /10

5. The program's potential for direct student involvement. (10 points)

How many students will be involved in the event? What metrics are you using to develop this figure?

COMMENTS:

Where do the volunteers come from? ⇒ students?
non-students?

How do you typically pull more involvement?

↳ not exactly clear

Score: 8 /10

6. The effort and thought reflected by the budget request. (5 points)

Is the application free from typos, grammar issues and mistakes? Does the application represent a best-effort approach?

COMMENTS:

Good

Score: 5 /5

7. The justification and the clarity of the budget presentation by the organization. (5 points)

Do the application and budget reflect all elements required and provide a complete picture of all revenue sources and expenses?

COMMENTS:

Transparent = Good

Score: 5 /5

8. The ability of an organization to generate revenue to support the organization's activity. (5 points)
How realistic are the revenue sources and revenue projections?

COMMENTS:

Where does the organization's Revenue Typically
Come from? Not Elaborated in Request,
(35% Co-sponsorship = Good)

Score: 3 /5

9. The activities and programs are open to all fee paying students. (25 points)

Does the organization have a solid marketing plan for the event appropriate for the scope of the event?

COMMENTS:

- ~~Blank~~ - Glad you are meeting to improve marketing,
→ how have you marketed in the past that has
led to needing Adviseement?

- How will you specifically draw in those who are unfamiliar

Score: 20 /25 With the culture? = a little unclear

10. The number of students involved in the organization's past activities. (5 points)

Did their previous projections of student involvement match their stated goals? Why or why not? What mechanism is the organization using to assess student involvement? (tickets, surveys, "counting heads," etc.)

COMMENTS: Very Good Attendance

Score: 5 /5

FINAL SCORE: 86 /100

Signature: Harrison Hunt Date: 9.29.2011

Printed Name: HARRISON HUNTON

Scoring Criteria (based out of 5, 10, 15 or 25 points):

0 = No answer provided, answer is blank.

1-2 / 1-5 / 1-8 / 1-8 = Answer provided, but do not recommend application based on response.

3 / 6-8 / 9-11 / 9-17 = Answer provided, application recommended with reservations based on response.

4 / 8-9 / 12-14 / 18-24 = Answer provided, application recommended based on response.

5 / 10 / 15 / 25 = Answer provided, application highly recommended based on response.

Organization Name: *American Indian Science & Engineering Society.*
 Event Name: *N.A. Month Kickoff & 29th Annual Pow-wow.*
 Event Date(s) *Nov 4-5, 2011*
 Reviewer Name: *Eric Roche* Final Score: 88/100

1. The services and programs relation to the organization's purpose. (15 points)
 What is the mission of the organization? Does this event "fit" in that mission?

COMMENTS:

Not sure its a great fit. Feels like shoe holding for this event to fit into the organization.

Score: 7/15

2. The organization's ability to effectively use the student activity fee. (15 points)
 How many people are expected to benefit from the event?

COMMENTS:

*Good attendance history.
 $\$18,626$
 $\div 3300$ students = $\$5.64$
 A good rate for other similar events.*

Score: 15/15

3. The organization's adherence to its planned budget and accountability for its expenses throughout the past fiscal year. (5 points)
 Please provide a brief financial summary (revenues and expenditures) for your most recently completed fiscal year. Please describe any unusual or unforeseen activities or fluctuations. Please indicate whether the organization filed its reports on time in the past. Also, please provide an organizational budget for the current fiscal year.

COMMENTS:

Has filed all necessary reports. Solid Fiscal history.

Score: 5/5

4. The ability of an organization to effectively deliver their services. (10 points)

Please provide information about your organization's leadership as well as specific operational details for delivering services.

COMMENTS: A fine history of events.

Score: 10 /10

5. The program's potential for direct student involvement. (10 points)

How many students will be involved in the event? What metrics are you using to develop this figure?

COMMENTS: Lots of students involved in the event.

Score: 10 /10

6. The effort and thought reflected by the budget request. (5 points)

Is the application free from typos, grammar issues and mistakes? Does the application represent a best-effort approach?

COMMENTS: Free from typos, mistakes. A very nice application.

Score: 5 /5

7. The justification and the clarity of the budget presentation by the organization. (5 points)

Do the application and budget reflect all elements required and provide a complete picture of all revenue sources and expenses?

COMMENTS: It was ~~not~~ a complete application.
The presentation was lough however.

Score: 3 /5

8. The ability of an organization to generate revenue to support the organization's activity. (5 points)
How realistic are the revenue sources and revenue projections?

COMMENTS:

A very solid history.

35% co-sponsorship

Score: 5/5

9. The activities and programs are open to all fee paying students. (25 points)

Does the organization have a solid marketing plan for the event appropriate for the scope of the event?

COMMENTS:

Lots of seats for students. Lots of funding for advertising. Renewed effort for advertising.

Score: 23/25

10. The number of students involved in the organization's past activities. (5 points)

Did their previous projections of student involvement match their stated goals? Why or why not? What mechanism is the organization using to assess student involvement? (tickets, surveys, "counting heads," etc.)

COMMENTS:

Significant growth in the last few years.

Score: 5/5

FINAL SCORE: 88/100

Signature: Eric Roche Date: 9/29/11

Printed Name: Eric Roche

Scoring Criteria (based out of 5, 10, 15 or 25 points):

0 = No answer provided, answer is blank.

1-2 / 1-5 / 1-8 / 1-8 = Answer provided, but do not recommend application based on response.

3 / 6-8 / 9-11 / 9-17 = Answer provided, application recommended with reservations based on response.

4 / 8-9 / 12-14 / 18-24 = Answer provided, application recommended based on response.

5 / 10 / 15 / 25 = Answer provided, application highly recommended based on response.

Organization Name: AMERICAN INDIAN SCIENCE and ENGINEERING SOCIETY

Event Name: KICKOFF and POW WOW

Event Date(s) 4,5 NOV 2011

Reviewer Name: DOUGLAS WINTER

Final Score: ⁸⁴~~88~~/100

.....

1. The services and programs relation to the organization's purpose. (15 points)

What is the mission of the organization? Hoes does this event "fit" in that mission?

COMMENTS:

Score: ¹¹~~15~~/15

2. The organization's ability to effectively use the student activity fee. (15 points)

How many people are expected to benefit from the event?

COMMENTS:

Score: ¹⁴/15

3. The organization's adherence to its planned budget and accountability for its expenses throughout the past fiscal year. (5 points)

Please provide a brief financial summary (revenues and expenditures) for your most recently completed fiscal year. Please describe any unusual or unforeseen activities or fluctuations. Please indicate whether the organization filed its reports on time in the past. Also, please provide an organizational budget for the current fiscal year.

COMMENTS:

Score: ³/5

4. The ability of an organization to effectively deliver their services. (10 points)

Please provide information about your organization's leadership as well as specific operational details for delivering services.

COMMENTS:

Score: 9 /10

5. The program's potential for direct student involvement. (10 points)

How many students will be involved in the event? What metrics are you using to develop this figure?

COMMENTS:

WITHOUT HISTORICAL DATA PROVIDED HOW CAN WE
CLAIM 20% INCREASE IN STUDENT INVOLVEMENT

Score: 8 /10

6. The effort and thought reflected by the budget request. (5 points)

Is the application free from typos, grammar issues and mistakes? Does the application represent a best-effort approach?

COMMENTS:

Score: 5 /5

7. The justification and the clarity of the budget presentation by the organization. (5 points)

Do the application and budget reflect all elements required and provide a complete picture of all revenue sources and expenses?

COMMENTS:

Score: 5 /5

8. The ability of an organization to generate revenue to support the organization's activity. (5 points)
How realistic are the revenue sources and revenue projections?

COMMENTS:

Score: 4 /5

9. The activities and programs are open to all fee paying students. (25 points)

Does the organization have a solid marketing plan for the event appropriate for the scope of the event?

COMMENTS:

Score: 21 /25

10. The number of students involved in the organization's past activities. (5 points)

Did their previous projections of student involvement match their stated goals? Why or why not? What mechanism is the organization using to assess student involvement? (tickets, surveys, "counting heads," etc.)

COMMENTS:

Score: 4 /5

FINAL SCORE: ⁸⁴~~80~~ /100

Signature: Jedediah Winter Date: 29SEP2011

Printed Name: JEDADIAH DOUGLAS WINTER

Scoring Criteria (based out of 5, 10, 15 or 25 points):

0 = No answer provided, answer is blank.

1-2 / 1-5 / 1-8 / 1-8 = Answer provided, but do not recommend application based on response.

3 / 6-8 / 9-11 / 9-17 = Answer provided, application recommended with reservations based on response.

4 / 8-9 / 12 -14 / 18-24 = Answer provided, application recommended based on response.

5 / 10 / 15 / 25 = Answer provided, application highly recommended based on response.

Organization Name: AISES

Event Name: 29th Annual Pow Wow

Event Date(s) 11-4/5-11

Reviewer Name: Michael Montgomery

Final Score: 95 /100

1. The services and programs relation to the organization's purpose. (15 points)

What is the mission of the organization? Hoes does this event "fit" in that mission?

COMMENTS:

- Learning through interaction
- Got Little Shop of Phys-ics involved

Score: 15 /15

2. The organization's ability to effectively use the student activity fee. (15 points)

How many people are expected to benefit from the event?

COMMENTS:

Focusing on student attendance

Score: 15 /15

3. The organization's adherence to its planned budget and accountability for its expenses throughout the past fiscal year. (5 points)

Please provide a brief financial summary (revenues and expenditures) for your most recently completed fiscal year. Please describe any unusual or unforeseen activities or fluctuations. Please indicate whether the organization filed its reports on time in the past. Also, please provide an organizational budget for the current fiscal year.

COMMENTS:

Score: 5 /5

4. The ability of an organization to effectively deliver their services. (10 points)

Please provide information about your organization's leadership as well as specific operational details for delivering services.

COMMENTS:

Run by students

Score: 10 /10

5. The program's potential for direct student involvement. (10 points)

How many students will be involved in the event? What metrics are you using to develop this figure?

COMMENTS:

Score: 10 /10

6. The effort and thought reflected by the budget request. (5 points)

Is the application free from typos, grammar issues and mistakes? Does the application represent a best-effort approach?

COMMENTS:

Score: 5 /5

7. The justification and the clarity of the budget presentation by the organization. (5 points)

Do the application and budget reflect all elements required and provide a complete picture of all revenue sources and expenses?

COMMENTS:

Score: 5 /5

8. The ability of an organization to generate revenue to support the organization's activity. (5 points)
How realistic are the revenue sources and revenue projections?

COMMENTS:

Score: 5 /5

9. The activities and programs are open to all fee paying students. (25 points)

Does the organization have a solid marketing plan for the event appropriate for the scope of the event?

COMMENTS:

Solid, new, marketing plan

Score: 20 /25

10. The number of students involved in the organization's past activities. (5 points)

Did their previous projections of student involvement match their stated goals? Why or why not? What mechanism is the organization using to assess student involvement? (tickets, surveys, "counting heads," etc.)

COMMENTS:

Event is in its 29th year

Less money, more people

Score: 5 /5

FINAL SCORE: 95 /100

Signature: Michael Montgomery Date: 9-29-11

Printed Name: Michael Montgomery

Scoring Criteria (based out of 5, 10, 15 or 25 points):

0 = No answer provided, answer is blank.

1-2 / 1-5 / 1-8 / 1-8 = Answer provided, but do not recommend application based on response.

3 / 6-8 / 9-11 / 9-17 = Answer provided, application recommended with reservations based on response.

4 / 8-9 / 12-14 / 18-24 = Answer provided, application recommended based on response.

5 / 10 / 15 / 25 = Answer provided, application highly recommended based on response.

Organization Name: American Indian Science & engineering ^{socio}
Event Name: 29th annual Pow Wow
Event Date(s) 11/4-5/2011
Reviewer Name: Regina Martel Final Score: 93/100

.....

1. The services and programs relation to the organization's purpose. (15 points)

What is the mission of the organization? Does this event "fit" in that mission?

COMMENTS:

academic and professional support
group group for Native American student
pursuing degrees in science and
engineering. showcase culture

Score: 15/15

2. The organization's ability to effectively use the student activity fee. (15 points)

How many people are expected to benefit from the event?

COMMENTS:

BIBOV 18126/3,300
\$5.64

Score: 13/15

3. The organization's adherence to its planned budget and accountability for its expenses throughout the past fiscal year. (5 points)

Please provide a brief financial summary (revenues and expenditures) for your most recently completed fiscal year. Please describe any unusual or unforeseen activities or fluctuations. Please indicate whether the organization filed its reports on time in the past. Also, please provide an organizational budget for the current fiscal year.

COMMENTS:



Score: 5/5

4. The ability of an organization to effectively deliver their services. (10 points)

Please provide information about your organization's leadership as well as specific operational details for delivering services.

COMMENTS:

committee working specifically on this event.

Score: 10 /10

5. The program's potential for direct student involvement. (10 points)

How many students will be involved in the event? What metrics are you using to develop this figure?

COMMENTS:

100 students directly ~~involved~~
not sure how that ~~will~~ ^{involved}
be calculated

Score: 4 /10

6. The effort and thought reflected by the budget request. (5 points)

Is the application free from typos, grammar issues and mistakes? Does the application represent a best-effort approach?

COMMENTS:

Score: 5 /5

7. The justification and the clarity of the budget presentation by the organization. (5 points)

Do the application and budget reflect all elements required and provide a complete picture of all revenue sources and expenses?

COMMENTS:

Score: 5 /5

8. The ability of an organization to generate revenue to support the organization's activity. (5 points)
How realistic are the revenue sources and revenue projections?

COMMENTS:

29th year doing this event

Score: 5 /5

9. The activities and programs are open to all fee paying students. (25 points)

Does the organization have a solid marketing plan for the event appropriate for the scope of the event?

COMMENTS:

Working ~~for~~ with campus activities to improve marketing posters, collegian ads, hand bills, flyers

Score: 22 /25

making an effort to get the most students.

10. The number of students involved in the organization's past activities. (5 points)

Did their previous projections of student involvement match their stated goals? Why or why not? What mechanism is the organization using to assess student involvement? (tickets, surveys, "counting heads," etc.)

COMMENTS:

continued growth from Pow wow to Pow wow head count

Score: 5 /5

FINAL SCORE: 93 /100

Signature: Regina Martel Date: 9/29/2011

Printed Name: Regina Martel

Scoring Criteria (based out of 5, 10, 15 or 25 points):

0 = No answer provided, answer is blank.

1-2 / 1-5 / 1-8 / 1-8 = Answer provided, but do not recommend application based on response.

3 / 6-8 / 9-11 / 9-17 = Answer provided, application recommended with reservations based on response.

4 / 8-9 / 12-14 / 18-24 = Answer provided, application recommended based on response.

5 / 10 / 15 / 25 = Answer provided, application highly recommended based on response.

Organization Name: American Indian Science and Engineering Society
Event Name: Native American Month kickoff and 29th Annual Pow Wow
Event Date(s): 11/4-5/11
Reviewer Name: Lindsay Ball
Final Score: 95/100

1. The services and programs relation to the organization's purpose. (15 points)

What is the mission of the organization? How does this event "fit" in that mission?

COMMENTS:

· Excellent relevance of the event to the student organization.
· Including the incorporation of "Engineering!"

Score: 15/15

2. The organization's ability to effectively use the student activity fee. (15 points)

How many people are expected to benefit from the event?

COMMENTS:

Many students ~~are~~ expected to be there.
Good for student expression as well as for students of CSU to be involved and immersed in other cultures.

Score: 15/15

3. The organization's adherence to its planned budget and accountability for its expenses throughout the past fiscal year. (5 points)

Please provide a brief financial summary (revenues and expenditures) for your most recently completed fiscal year. Please describe any unusual or unforeseen activities or fluctuations. Please indicate whether the organization filed its reports on time in the past. Also, please provide an organizational budget for the current fiscal year.

COMMENTS:

Great, easy-to-understand list of previous expenses.

Score: 5/5

4. The ability of an organization to effectively deliver their services. (10 points)

Please provide information about your organization's leadership as well as specific operational details for delivering services.

COMMENTS:

• Great Presentation, thank you!
• This will be the 29th year they have put on this event with respectable attendance.

• Excellent and dedicated leadership

Score: 10 /10

Specifically mentioned cultural expression as part of the meaning of this event.

5. The program's potential for direct student involvement. (10 points)

How many students will be involved in the event? What metrics are you using to develop this figure?

COMMENTS:

• 100 students expected to help volunteer and participate in event.

• For as many people expected to attend I would love to see more student volunteers and involvement.

Score: 5 /10

6. The effort and thought reflected by the budget request. (5 points)

Is the application free from typos, grammar issues and mistakes? Does the application represent a best-effort approach?

COMMENTS:

• organized and to-the-point application
• Great Presentation

Score: 5 /5

7. The justification and the clarity of the budget presentation by the organization. (5 points)

Do the application and budget reflect all elements required and provide a complete picture of all revenue sources and expenses?

COMMENTS:

clear and organized budget

Score: 5 /5

8. The ability of an organization to generate revenue to support the organization's activity. (5 points)
How realistic are the revenue sources and revenue projections?

COMMENTS:

. Good Past records, almost three decades.

Score: 5 /5

9. The activities and programs are open to all fee paying students. (25 points)

Does the organization have a solid marketing plan for the event appropriate for the scope of the event?

COMMENTS:

. Attempting to get more attendance.
. Appropriate marketing plan
. Spoke w/ an expert to improve marketing plan before coming to the board.

Score: 25 /25

10. The number of students involved in the organization's past activities. (5 points)

Did their previous projections of student involvement match their stated goals? Why or why not? What mechanism is the organization using to assess student involvement? (tickets, surveys, "counting heads," etc.)

COMMENTS:

Excellent previous records and attendance.

Score: 5 /5

FINAL SCORE: 95 /100

Signature: Lindsay Ball Date: 9/29/11

Printed Name: Lindsay Ball

Scoring Criteria (based out of 5, 10, 15 or 25 points):

0 = No answer provided, answer is blank.

1-2 / 1-5 / 1-8 / 1-8 = Answer provided, but do not recommend application based on response.

3 / 6-8 / 9-11 / 9-17 = Answer provided, application recommended with reservations based on response.

4 / 8-9 / 12-14 / 18-24 = Answer provided, application recommended based on response.

5 / 10 / 15 / 25 = Answer provided, application highly recommended based on response.

Organization Name: AISES

Event Name: Native American month Kick-off + Powwow

Event Date(s) 11.04-05.11

Reviewer Name: NEWCOMB

Final Score: 76/100

1. The services and programs relation to the organization's purpose. (15 points)

What is the mission of the organization? How does this event "fit" in that mission?

COMMENTS: Good fit of mission to express Native American heritage. Not good fit with academic + professional support grp.

Score: 09/15

2. The organization's ability to effectively use the student activity fee. (15 points)

How many people are expected to benefit from the event?

COMMENTS: 3000 people Fri. + 1300 for Sat. = 4300 people.
Large attendance / Large costs

Score: 09/15

3. The organization's adherence to its planned budget and accountability for its expenses throughout the past fiscal year. (5 points)

Please provide a brief financial summary (revenues and expenditures) for your most recently completed fiscal year. Please describe any unusual or unforeseen activities or fluctuations. Please indicate whether the organization filed its reports on time in the past. Also, please provide an organizational budget for the current fiscal year.

COMMENTS:

Score: 4/5

4. The ability of an organization to effectively deliver their services. (10 points)

Please provide information about your organization's leadership as well as specific operational details for delivering services.

COMMENTS: Several years of pow wow's. Well-run

Score: 09/10

5. The program's potential for direct student involvement. (10 points)

How many students will be involved in the event? What metrics are you using to develop this figure?

COMMENTS: ~ 100 students + 20% increase from last year's participants / organization.

Score: 09/10

6. The effort and thought reflected by the budget request. (5 points)

Is the application free from typos, grammar issues and mistakes? Does the application represent a best-effort approach?

COMMENTS:

Score: 5/5

7. The justification and the clarity of the budget presentation by the organization. (5 points)

Do the application and budget reflect all elements required and provide a complete picture of all revenue sources and expenses?

COMMENTS: Loads of work obvious to this reader.

Score: 4/5

8. The ability of an organization to generate revenue to support the organization's activity. (5 points)
How realistic are the revenue sources and revenue projections?

COMMENTS:

35% co-sponsorship

Score: 4/5

9. The activities and programs are open to all fee paying students. (25 points)

Does the organization have a solid marketing plan for the event appropriate for the scope of the event?

COMMENTS:

A bit fuzzy with regards to ASAP involvement in marketing. Unclear on changes intended from previous year's marketing

Score: 18/25

10. The number of students involved in the organization's past activities. (5 points)

Did their previous projections of student involvement match their stated goals? Why or why not? What mechanism is the organization using to assess student involvement? (tickets, surveys, "counting heads," etc.)

COMMENTS:

Good.

Score: 5/5

FINAL SCORE: 76/100

Signature: _____

Date: _____

09.29.11

Printed Name: _____

M. NEWCOMB

Scoring Criteria (based out of 5, 10, 15 or 25 points):

0 = No answer provided, answer is blank.

1-2 / 1-5 / 1-8 / 1-8 = Answer provided, but do not recommend application based on response.

3 / 6-8 / 9-11 / 9-17 = Answer provided, application recommended with reservations based on response.

4 / 8-9 / 12-14 / 18-24 = Answer provided, application recommended based on response.

5 / 10 / 15 / 25 = Answer provided, application highly recommended based on response.


Organization Name: AISES

Event Name: POW WOW!

Event Date(s) NOV. 4-5, 2011

Reviewer Name: R. Lytle

Final Score: 85 /100



1. The services and programs relation to the organization's purpose. (15 points)

What is the mission of the organization? Does this event "fit" in that mission?

COMMENTS:

-MISSION → "SUPPORT GROUP" ≠ GOAL/MISSION - SCIENCE/ENGINEERING?
 - EXPRESS/SHARE HERITAGE

-FIT → -OPP. TO LEARN TRADITIONS - SCIENCE/ENG.?

Score: 11 /15

2. The organization's ability to effectively use the student activity fee. (15 points)

How many people are expected to benefit from the event?

COMMENTS:

2800 + 500 @ CSU → 3300

$$\frac{18000}{3300} = 5.64$$

Score: 14 /15

3. The organization's adherence to its planned budget and accountability for its expenses throughout the past fiscal year. (5 points)

Please provide a brief financial summary (revenues and expenditures) for your most recently completed fiscal year. Please describe any unusual or unforeseen activities or fluctuations. Please indicate whether the organization filed its reports on time in the past. Also, please provide an organizational budget for the current fiscal year.

COMMENTS:

N/A

Score: 5 /5

4. The ability of an organization to effectively deliver their services. (10 points)

Please provide information about your organization's leadership as well as specific operational details for delivering services.

COMMENTS:

- PRES., sec., treas., MULTIPLE MEMBERS

- OUTREACH TO NEW STUDENTS

- SPECIFIC TO PREVIOUS YRS' EVENTS?

Score: 8 /10

5. The program's potential for direct student involvement. (10 points)

How many students will be involved in the event? What metrics are you using to develop this figure?

COMMENTS:

- 100 → 20% ↑ INVOLVEMENT

- METRICS?

Score: 8 /10

6. The effort and thought reflected by the budget request. (5 points)

Is the application free from typos, grammar issues and mistakes? Does the application represent a best-effort approach?

COMMENTS:

- THOROUGH + VERY PASSIONATE

Score: 5 /5

7. The justification and the clarity of the budget presentation by the organization. (5 points)

Do the application and budget reflect all elements required and provide a complete picture of all revenue sources and expenses?

COMMENTS:

N/A

Score: 5 /5

8. The ability of an organization to generate revenue to support the organization's activity. (5 points)
How realistic are the revenue sources and revenue projections?

COMMENTS:

- SPECIFICS? WHERE DOES REV. COME FROM?
- 35% SPONSORSHIP

Score: 4 /5

9. The activities and programs are open to all fee paying students. (25 points)

Does the organization have a solid marketing plan for the event appropriate for the scope of the event?

COMMENTS:

- FREE!
- \$3000 OUT OF \$18600
- POSTERS
- COLLEGIAN
- HAND BILLS / FLYERS
- MEETING W/ MKT. COORDINATOR TO ADD MORE WAYS
- ↳ I FEEL LIKE FOR THIS MEETING - THIS SHOULD HAVE BEEN SOLIDIFIED

Score: 20 /25

10. The number of students involved in the organization's past activities. (5 points)

Did their previous projections of student involvement match their stated goals? Why or why not? What mechanism is the organization using to assess student involvement? (tickets, surveys, "counting heads," etc.)

COMMENTS:

- HEAD COUNT (PREV. YRS.)
- PROJECTIONS - THANK YOU!
- ↳ LEARNING EACH YR, MEETING GOALS
- THIS YR → COUNT HEADS, SURVEYS

Score: 5 /5

FINAL SCORE: 85 /100

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Printed Name: _____

Scoring Criteria (based out of 5, 10, 15 or 25 points):

0 = No answer provided, answer is blank.

1-2 / 1-5 / 1-8 / 1-8 = Answer provided, but do not recommend application based on response.

3 / 6-8 / 9-11 / 9-17 = Answer provided, application recommended with reservations based on response.

4 / 8-9 / 12-14 / 18-24 = Answer provided, application recommended based on response.

5 / 10 / 15 / 25 = Answer provided, application highly recommended based on response.